#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

LEAH LYNN RIO,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) No.
v.	) JURY DEMAND
NATIONAL HEALTH CORPO d/b/a NHC HomeCare,	ORATION)
Defendant.	)
	COMPLAINT

For her Complaint against defendant National Health Corporation d/b/a NHC HomeCare ("defendant"), plaintiff Leah Lynn Rio ("plaintiff") states:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff is a resident of Rutherford County, Tennessee and a former employee of defendant.
- 2. Defendant National Health Corporation is a Tennessee corporation with its principal place of business at 100 Vine Street, Suite 1400, Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130. Defendant does business as NHC HomeCare and can be served through its registered agent, National Registered Agents, Inc., 800 South Gay Street, Suite 2021, Knoxville, Tennessee 37929-9710.
- 3. Defendant operates a for-profit health care business within the Middle District of Tennessee and employed plaintiff there. At all relevant times, defendant employed more than 15 individuals, including plaintiff.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an action for damages and equitable relief for unlawful employment practices brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*, ("Title VII") and the Tennessee Human Rights Act, Tenn. Code Ann. § 4-21-101, *et seq.* ("THRA"). The Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a)(4), and 1367(a). Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 5. Plaintiff filed timely charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and has received the attached Notices of Right to Sue ("Notices") from the EEOC.

#### **FACTS**

- 6. Plaintiff was employed by defendant as a physical therapist from June 2011 until June 4, 2013.
- 7. In February 2013, defendant reassigned plaintiff from its nursing home business and assigned her to work part of her time at its home health business.
- 8. Beginning on March 4, 2013, defendant assigned plaintiff to work completely at its home health business.
- 9. After plaintiff began working at defendant's home health business, defendant began to discriminate against her because of her race (Asian) and national origin (Filipino) with respect to the terms, conditions and privileges of employment.
- 10. Defendant scheduled plaintiff to less-desirable assignments and shifts than similarly situated non-Asian or Filipino employees.
- 11. Defendant scheduled plaintiff to work every weekend when it did not do so to similarly situated non-Asian or Filipino employees.

- 12. When plaintiff complained to defendant about defendant's discriminatory treatment of her, defendant began to retaliate against plaintiff.
- 13. After complaining about defendant's discriminatory scheduling, defendant called plaintiff and defendant's other therapists to a meeting and singled out plaintiff because of her race and national origin.
- 14. On May 3, 2013, plaintiff filed an initial charge of discrimination with the EEOC complaining about the discriminatory treatment she was experiencing in her work.
- 15. On May 29, 30 and 31, 2013, plaintiff was sick and unable to work and she notified defendant that she was unable to work on those days.
- 16. Despite the fact that plaintiff had notified defendant that she was sick and could not work on these days, defendant reprimanded plaintiff on June 3, 2013 for being a "no call-no show."
- 17. Defendant did not reprimand similarly situated non-Asian or Filipino employees who missed work when they were sick.
- 18. Defendant did not reprimand similarly situated non-Asian or Filipino employees who missed work without calling in their absence in advance.
- 19. As a result of defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment of plaintiff, plaintiff turned in her two-week notice on June 3, 2013, the day defendant wrongly reprimanded her for being a "no call-no show."
- 20. The next day, June 4, 2013, defendant told plaintiff that she could not work out her two-week notice and escorted her from her worksite.
- 21. Defendant permitted its similarly situated non-Asian or Filipino employees to work out their notices and did not escort them from the worksite.

- 22. Defendant discriminated against plaintiff with respect to the terms, conditions and privileges of employment and constructively discharged plaintiff because of her race and national origin and/or her complaining about and opposing defendant's unlawful conduct. Defendant's actions were in violation of Title VII and the THRA.
- 23. Defendant created, allowed and failed to remedy a hostile work environment based on race and national origin that altered plaintiff's working conditions.

  Defendant's actions were in violation of Title VII and the THRA.
  - 24. As a direct result of defendant's actions, plaintiff has suffered damages.
- 25. As a result of its actions, defendant is liable to plaintiff in an amount to be determined by the jury for the damages plaintiff has incurred.
- 26. As a result of its actions, defendant is liable for punitive damages and for plaintiff's attorneys' fees.
- 27. As a result of its actions, defendant is obligated to make plaintiff whole for all lost earnings and benefits.

#### Claim for Race and National Origin Discrimination, Racially and National Origin Hostile Work Environment, and Retaliation in Violation of Federal and Tennessee Law

- 28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges the factual averments as set forth in paragraphs 1 through 26 herein.
- 29. Defendant discriminated against plaintiff in the terms, conditions and privileges of her employment and discharged plaintiff from her employment because of her race or national origin in violation of Title VII and the THRA.
- 30. Defendant created, allowed and failed to remedy a racially and national origin hostile work environment that altered plaintiff's working conditions in violation of

Title VII and the THRA.

- 31. Defendant retaliated against plaintiff and discharge plaintiff from her employment because of her opposition to defendant's racially and national origin offensive, inappropriate and unlawful actions in violation of Title VII and the THRA.
- 32. As a result of its actions, defendant is liable to plaintiff in an amount to be determined by the jury for the damages plaintiff has incurred as well as for punitive damages.
  - 33. As a result of its actions, defendant is liable for plaintiff's attorneys' fees.
- 34. As a result of its actions, defendant is obligated to make plaintiff whole for all lost earnings and benefits.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, plaintiff demands the following relief:

- 1. A jury trial and entry of judgment in her favor;
- 2. Back pay and damages for lost benefits;
- 3. Compensatory damages for embarrassment and humiliation, emotional pain and suffering and mental anguish, stress and anxiety, inconvenience, and loss of enjoyment of life;
  - 4. Reinstatement or, alternatively, front pay and damages for lost benefits;
  - 5. Punitive damages;
  - 6. Attorneys' fees and expenses;
  - 7. Prejudgment interest and, if applicable, post judgment interest; and
  - 8. Such other and further legal or equitable relief to which she may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted:

Stephen W. Grace, (TN BPR No. 14867) 1019 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue, South

Nashville, Tennessee 37212

(615) 255-5225

Kerry E. Knox (TN BPR No. 23302)

117 South Academy Street

Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130

(615) 896-1000

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To: Lea	ah Lynn L. Rio				
2			From:	Nashville Area Offic 220 Athens Way Suite 350 Nashville, TN 37228	е
	On behalf of person(s) aggrie CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §16	ved whose identity is 601.7(a))			
EEOC Cha	irge No.	EEOC Representative			Talashara Na
40.4.0044		Deborah K. Walker,			Telephone No.
494-2013	3-01217	Supervisory Investigator			(615) 736-2109
Nотісе то т	HE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(Se	ee also th	ne additional information	n enclosed with this form
Title VII of Act (GINA) been issued	the Civil Rights Act of 1964,  This is your Notice of Right to	the Americans with Disabilities Act to Sue, issued under Title VII, the ADA of under Title VII, the ADA or GINA mush to sue based on this charge will be to	DI CHAY F	based on the above-hul	mbered charge It has
X	More than 180 days have pa	assed since the filing of this charge.			
	Less than 180 days have na	assed since the filing of this charge, but inistrative processing within 180 days fr	I have de	etermined that it is unlik	cely that the EEOC will
X	The EEOC is terminating its	processing of this charge	om the n	ing of this charge.	
	The EEOC will continue to p				
90 days after your case:	The EEOC is closing your ca 90 DAYS of your receipt of The EEOC is continuing its ha	ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA ve completed action on the charge. In se. Therefore, your lawsuit under the Athis Notice. Otherwise, your right to sandling of your ADEA case. However, state court under the ADEA at this time	ADEA mu	ust be filed in federal of	or state court WITHIN ed charge will be lost.
any violations	et (EPA): You already have the rate court within 2 years (3 years that occurred more than 2	state court under the ADEA at this time right to sue under the EPA (filing an EEs for willful violations) of the alleged EPA years (3 years) before you file suit mend a copy of your court complaint to this	OC charg underpa	ge is not required.) FPA	
		On behalf of the (	Commiss	ion	
Enclosures(s)	-	Saraht.	met	4n ~	MAR 0 6 2014
		Sarah L. Smith, Area Office Direct	or		(Date Mailed)
Adn NHO 192: Suit	ly Harries ninistrator C HOMECARE 3 Memorial Blvd. te 200 freesboro, TN 37129	1019	hen W. ( 16th Av	Grace, Esq. e. S. I 37212	

Murfreesboro, TN 37129

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To: Lea	h Lynn L. Rio		From:	Nashville Area Office 220 Athens Way Suite 350 Nashville, TN 37228				
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.	whose identity is 7(a))						
EEOC Cha	rge No.	EEOC Representative		Te	elephone No.			
494-2013-01387		Deborah K. Walker,						
		Supervisory Investigator		(6	(615) 736-2109			
Nотісе то т	HE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(S	ee also tl	ne additional information el	nclosed with this form.			
been issued of your rec	d at your request. Your lawsuit ur	e Americans with Disabilities Act ue, issued under Title VII, the ADA nder Title VII, the ADA or GINA mu to sue based on this charge will be	or GINA	based on the above-numb	ered charge. It has			
X	More than 180 days have pass	sed since the filing of this charge.						
	Less than 180 days have pass be able to complete its adminis	ed since the filing of this charge, bu trative processing within 180 days	it I have of	determined that it is unlikely filing of this charge.	y that the EEOC will			
X	The EEOC is terminating its pro							
	The EEOC will continue to prod	cess this charge.						
Age Discrin 90 days afte your case:	The EEOC is closing your case	DEA): You may sue under the ADE completed action on the charge. In therefore, your lawsuit under the is Notice. Otherwise, your right to	n this reg	ard, the paragraph marke	ed below applies to			
	90 DAYS of your receipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue based on the above-numbered charge will be lost.  The EEOC is continuing its handling of your ADEA case. However, if 60 days have passed since the filing of the charge, you may file suit in federal or state court under the ADEA at this time.							
	tate south within 2 years to years	ght to sue under the EPA (filing an E or willful violations) of the alleged E ears (3 years) before you file suit	24 lindon	This mass that	suits must be brought backpay due for			
If you file suit,	based on this charge, please sen	d a copy of your court complaint to t	, his office.					
		On behalf of the	e Commis	ssion				
		Smuht	Smit	K M	AR 0 6 2014			
Enclosures(s		Sarah L. Smit Area Office Dire			(Date Mailed)			
Ad NF 19:	elly Harries Iministrator IC HOMECARE 23 Memorial Blvd. ite A	10	19 16th A	. Grace, Esq. Ave. S. Pro, TN 37212				